1 2 3 4 5 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 6 DISTRICT OF NEVADA 7 8 Case No. 3:12-cv-00251-MMD-VPC ANTHONY VINCENT ABEYTA, 9 Petitioner, ORDER ٧. 10 11 ROBERT LEGRAND, et al., 12 Respondents. 13 14 This habeas matter comes before the Court on respondents' motion to dismiss in 15 part (dkt. no. 14) as well as on an outstanding motion for an extension of time (dkt. no. 16 20). Petitioner does not oppose the motion to dismiss, and the corresponding claims 17 therefore will be dismissed with prejudice. 18 It is therefore ordered that respondents' motion to dismiss (dkt. no. 14) is granted 19 and that Grounds 6, 8, and 9 are dismissed with prejudice as procedurally defaulted and 20 Ground 11 is dismissed with prejudice under the rule of Tollett v. Henderson, 411 U.S. 258 (1973). 21 22 It is further ordered that respondents shall respond to the remaining grounds on 23 the merits within sixty (60) days of entry of this order. 24 It is further ordered that petitioner's motion for an extension of time (dkt. no. 20) 25 is granted *nunc pro tunc*, in connection with the response filed on April 16, 2014. DATED THIS 28th day of May 2014. 26 27 MIRANDA M. DU

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

28